A unicameral legislature dispenses with the need for hasty and ill-considered legislation. We of the Minority believe that bicameralism provides a self-fulfilling prophecy that there will be hasty and ill-considered legislation because of the need to rush on, to get legislation to the other house, in order that it might be considered at all.

By the establishment of careful procedures, a measure can be adequately considered and debated in a single house, and a unicameral body will not find itself jammed with bills it has not seen before as it is moving toward adjournment.

Certainly a great deal morecan be achieved for the same cost in a unicameral body than in a bicameral body, whether we be concerned with salaries of legislators or the necessities of staff and office facilities.

We believe that when the arguments for bicameralism are reduced to their essentials, they consist of two principal propositions. Both have been stated admirably and well by the Chairman: that bicameralism is traditional -- but so are elected judges, long Constitutions, division